

**Alliance**

(<https://www.aquaculturealliance.org>).




[ANIMAL HEALTH & WELFARE \(/ADVOCATE/CATEGORY/ANIMAL-HEALTH-WELFARE\)](/ADVOCATE/CATEGORY/ANIMAL-HEALTH-WELFARE)

# One-step PCR for white spot syndrome detection

Saturday, 1 January 2011

By Linda M. Nunan and Donald V. Lightner, Ph.D.

## A one-step assay detected all geographical isolates



The one-step WSSV PCR method shortens the time for analysis and is more cost-effective than the OIE-recommended protocol for detection of the virus.

White spot syndrome (WSS) was first documented as a viral disease in 1993 in Asia and rapidly spread throughout that continent. By 1995, the virus had spread to the Western Hemisphere, decimating shrimp pond productions. After 15 years, WSS remains a major impediment to the shrimp culture industry worldwide.

White spot disease has been listed as a notifiable disease in the Office of International Epizootic (OIE) Aquatic Animal Health Code since 1997. The two-step polymerase chain reaction (PCR) protocol in the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals is considered the reference standard for detection of the white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) by PCR.

Two inherent drawbacks to the recommended procedure are a lack of specificity, primarily due to a low annealing temperature, and the possibility of introducing contamination during the second step, which can result in false positive samples that ultimately mean the destruction of shrimp stocks.

## Procedure development

With grant support from the U.S. Consortium Marine Shrimp Farming Program, National Institute of Food and Agriculture, the authors developed a simplified PCR test to detect virus WSSV. The second-step primer pair used in the OIE WSSV PCR test, which amplifies a 942-bp product, was selected for development of the one-step procedure.

The cycling times of the three steps of the PCR amplification process – denaturation, annealing and extension – were reduced to 20, 20 and 30 seconds, respectively, which allowed the assay to be run in less than 1.5 hours. The annealing temperature was raised to 62 degrees-C to increase specificity.

## Sensitivity, specificity

The sensitivity of the one-step assay was determined using semi-purified WSSV in which the viral copy number was determined using real-time PCR (Table 1). Both the OIE-recommended two-step and the one-step methods were compared. The two-step assay limit of detection was 1.2 copies following the second-step round of amplification. The one-step method achieved the same level of sensitivity to 1.2 copies of WSSV.

## Nunan, Real-time PCR quantification, Table 1

Theoretical Copy Number	Real-Time Copy Number (mean of 3 duplicates)
1,000	2,858.0
100	276.0
10	28.0
1	1.2
0	0.4

Table 1. Real-time PCR quantification of WSSV virion copy number contained in 1 µl of template DNA.

The specificity of the assay was tested using a variety of WSSV-infected shrimp samples from various geographical locations in the Americas and Asia, and the same primer pair used in the two-step OIE protocol. The one-step assay detected all of the geographical isolates.

## Advantages

The one-step PCR protocol is less expensive to run than the two-step procedure. The amount of time required for the assay is 1.5 hours as compared to seven hours for the two-step method. In addition, the possibility of cross-contamination is reduced substantially using the one-step PCR method.

After 15 years, due to the many improvements in PCR technology, two-step PCR for the detection of WSSV could be replaced with a simpler, more rapid and equally sensitive method based on the second-step of the recommended WSSV OIE PCR protocol. This method could provide an alternative to that protocol as a recommended procedure in

the next edition of the OIE manual.

*(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the January/February 2011 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)*

## Authors

---



### **LINDA M. NUNAN**

University of Arizona  
Department of Veterinary Science and Microbiology  
Aquaculture Pathology Laboratory  
Tucson, Arizona 85721 USA

[lmn@email.arizona.edu](mailto:lmn@email.arizona.edu) (<mailto:lmn@email.arizona.edu>)



### **DONALD V. LIGHTNER, PH.D.**

University of Arizona  
Aquaculture Pathology Laboratory  
Tucson, Arizona 85721 USA

Copyright © 2016–2019 Global Aquaculture Alliance

All rights reserved.