



ALLIANCE™

[.https://www.globalseafood.org](https://www.globalseafood.org)**Responsible  
Seafood**  
ADVOCATE

Responsibility

# In-pond raceway culture of red tilapia

18 February 2019

By Esau Arana , Jesse Chappell, Ph.D. , Jairo Amezcuita , Luis Pedroza and Herbert Quintero, Ph.D.

## Results show technology's major potential




View of the demonstration in-pond raceway and the fish.

This article presents results from an in-pond raceway system (IPRS) pilot project culturing red tilapia on a commercial farm in Honduras. Adoption of this technology has been supported by the U.S. Soybean Export Council (USSEC) as a strategy to increase fish production, reduce environmental impact by improving feed management, and managing the waste load from high level production.

Although this approach has been adopted and implemented by aquaculture producers in China, Southeast Asia, India and Egypt, it has been recently tested in Latin America, specifically in Mexico, and now Honduras.

Honduras produces both standard Nile tilapia and red tilapia (*Oreochromis* sp.) in freshwater ponds, raceways and cages placed in reservoirs and natural lakes, using a variety of production systems. Most of the small and medium volume producers grow red tilapia, because there is a high demand and better prices in restaurants and supermarkets due to its attractive look compared to standard Nile tilapia.

One of these medium-sized producers – the farm “Tilapia Blukalsa” – is located in Las Marias, a small town located in Cortes Province, Honduras. The farm was established in 2015 and quickly experienced problems with its production model, primarily due to the farm being located at the lower end of a watershed and using sheet flow (runoff) water from within the watershed, which contains runoff from farms located at higher elevation.



(<https://bspcertification.org/>).

Despite using water containing organic loading from these farms, no nitrite or ammonia were detected. However, total alkalinity of the water was measured at 22.0 mg/L, and it was amended to 60.0 mg/L using applications of agricultural (dolomitic) limestone. Water temperature in the farm ponds ranged from a minimum of 28 degrees-C to a maximum of 30 degrees-C. Issues with reduced dissolved oxygen (DO) measured in the ponds and due to high stocking densities have been resolved by implementing mechanical aeration in all the farm ponds, but the owner reasoned that more could be done to optimize pond production, and decided to explore the IPRS technology to increase their productivity and profitability.



View of the demonstration in-pond raceway during construction. Note the fixed, cement floor on the pond bottom.

## Demonstration project

In April 2018, construction of a single concrete IPRS was initiated in a 0.36-hectare (ha) traditional earthen pond with an average depth of 1.4 meters. The raceway (5 meters x 25 meters x 1.40 meters; 175-cubic-meter raceway volume and a freeboard of 0.30 meters) was built as a fixed-floor project, with poured concrete walls.

The total culture area of the raceway for holding and growing fish is 125 square meters, which represents 3.5 percent of the total surface area of the production pond but very importantly, only 1.1 percent of its volume. The raceway is equipped with one regenerative blower providing 1.5 horsepower (60 Hz).

Additionally, the pond has Asian-style paddlewheels installed in the open water area, which helps mix and circulate water around the pond, to accelerate the assimilation of organic matter and nutrients produced by fish culture and feeding in the pond ecosystem. At the end of June 2018, the pond was filled and the IPRS was ready to stock. The investment installing a single raceway cell reached \$10,259, including materials (50.7 percent), labor (25.3 percent), and equipment (24.0 percent) to be used in operating the raceway.

A total of 20,000 all-male graded red tilapia fingerlings with an average individual weight of 48 grams was stocked in the raceway. The initial stocking density of 114 fingerlings per cubic meter of raceway volume reached an initial biomass of 5.5 kg per cubic meter. The DO inside the culture was maintained at a minimum of 3.5 mg/L and while DO levels in the open pond exceeded saturation, the DO inside the raceway was maintained at saturation due to aeration. No nitrite was detected during growing cycle. Ammonia levels did not rise above 5 mg/L and pH was maintained between 7.5 to 8.0.





Fish were fed a commercial diet daily following a continuous satiation feeding protocol based on observed consumption.

Daily feed applications in the raceway were made using a continuous satiation feeding protocol based on observed consumption. Fish were fed a commercial diet with 38 percent crude protein during the first 30 days (43 percent of total volume fed), followed by 35 percent crude protein (28.5 percent of total volume fed) and 32 percent crude protein (28.5 percent of total volume fed) over the course of the study. Two employees were responsible for feeding and cleaning the raceway screens to maintain the correct and continuous water flow in the raceway units. Fish sampling were performed at 10, 21, 42, 49, 63 and 77 days of culture. Four partial harvests were performed during the production cycle, at 71, 85, 91 and 119 days.

## Results

This simple feeding demonstration was conceived as an illustrative pilot study to evaluate red tilapia performance in fixed concrete IPRS. Red tilapia fingerlings stocked at an individual average weight of 48 grams reached an average weight of 472.5 grams after 71 days of culture. A first partial harvest at 71 days removed 26.6 percent of the total number of individuals stocked, which eventually represented 51.3 percent of the total biomass (Table 1) at that time. Two weeks later, a second partial harvest was carried out which removed 30 percent of the population, but in this case, fish were only above half pound in size (260 grams). The remaining fish were fed for an additional month, and finally at 119 days of culture the fish were completely harvested.

## Arana, IPRS, Table 1

Show 14 entries

Search

| Variable                  | H-1     | H-2   | H-3   | H-4   | H-4   | Total   |
|---------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Production cycle (days)   | 71      | 85    | 91    | 119   | 119   | –       |
| No. fish stocked          | 20,000  | –     | –     | –     | –     | 20,000  |
| Fish harvested            | 5,317   | 5,931 | 1,458 | 1,342 | 2,732 | 16,790  |
| Percentage of fish (%)    | 26.6    | 29.7  | 7.3   | 6.7   | 13.7  | –       |
| Survival rate (%)         | –       | –     | –     | –     | –     | 84.0    |
| Initial weight            | 48      | –     | –     | –     | –     | –       |
| Average weight(g)         | 472.5   | 260.7 | 200   | 200   | 100   | –       |
| Initial biomass (kg)      | 960     | –     | –     | –     | –     | 960     |
| Partial harvest (kg)      | 2,512.3 | 1,546 | 293.7 | 268.4 | 273.2 | 4,893.6 |
| Biomass (%)               | 51.3    | 31.6  | 6.0   | 5.5   | 5.6   | 100.0   |
| Initial biomass (kg/m3)   | 5.5     | –     | –     | –     | –     | –       |
| Biomass harvested (kg/m3) | 14.4    | 8.8   | 1.7   | 1.5   | 1.6   | 28      |
| SGR (%/day)               | 3.22    | 1.99  | 1.57  | 1.2   | 0.62  | –       |

Showing 1 to 13 of 13 entries

Previous **1** Next

Table 1: Results of production parameters at “Tilapia Blukalsa” (Las Marias, Cortes, Honduras) during the IPRS production demonstration (IPRS, 175 m3).

During these two partial harvests, at 91 days and 119 days, a total of 5,542 fish (27.7 percent of the population) were harvested, with half of them having an average weight of 200 grams, and the other half 100 grams, which represented 17.1 percent of the total biomass harvested. The final total yield reached for the IPRS in this trial was 4,893.6 kg (28.0 kg per cubic meter), with a survival rate of 84.0 percent. The estimated feed conversion ratio was 1.53. Considering the previous production parameters results, the potential red tilapia production for this unit could reach 40.8 tons/ha/year, assuming a production cycle of 120 days, which is 33.5 tons/ha/year higher than these ponds typically yield when traditionally managed without the IPRS.

The specific growth rate of the first group of fish harvested reached an SGR equivalent to 3.2 percent gain per day; but subsequent harvests did not show any improvement in growth. The SGR observed in the first group of harvested fish is slightly higher than the SGR obtained in a previous feeding demonstration with standard Nile tilapia in an IPRS system trial in Mexico.

Fig. 1: Tilapia growth curve for the IPRS production demonstration at Tilapia Blukalsa (Las Marias, Cortes, Honduras).

Some researchers have pointed out that density may act as stress factor that affects growth and feed utilization due to stress caused by competition for space and feed. However, in this study, the density factor might have been alleviated with the removal of biomass in the first partial harvest. So, why the poor performance of the 73.4 percent of the red tilapia population, after the first partial harvest?

Fish were sampled several times, and this may have affected their growth rates due to handling and stress.

There is a strong indication that red tilapia is, in fact, more sensitive to manipulation and partial harvests may have prompted stress on the fish, possibly resulting in poor growth performance. Whether it is a biological factor associated with slower-growing individuals, fish handling, or poor feed

management practices, requires further evaluation. For example, we know that in growth and performance trials on a commercial farm in Alabama, USA, channel catfish performed poorly when sampled frequently and/or partial harvests were used to meet market commitments. The catfish typically performs well in the raceway system environment but when managers sampled or harvested frequently, feeding response was poor.

## Arana, IPRS, Table 2

Show13▼entries

Search

| Variable                     | Cost (US\$) | Proportion (%) |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Total fingerlings            | 920.50      | 10.6           |
| Total feed                   | 5,147.50    | 59.30          |
| Total labor                  | 1,152.00    | 13.28          |
| Total electricity            | 384.00      | 4.42           |
| Total office                 | 50.00       | 0.58           |
| Total variables              | 7,654.00    | 88.18          |
| Annual depreciation          | 1,026.00    | 11.82          |
| Total costs                  | 8,680       | 100.00         |
| Gross return from fish sales | 12,936.00   | –              |
| Net income                   | 4,256.00    | –              |
| Net profit (% total costs)   | –           | 49.03          |
| Net profit (% of sales)      | –           | 32.90          |

Showing 1 to 12 of 12 entries

Previous1Next

Table 2: Variables on financial performance for red tilapia production using a 175 m3-IPRS in a 0.36 ha pond.

## Perspectives

Although biological results may have been affected by excessive handling of the fish during the sampling and partial harvests, the financial results far exceeded traditional pond revenue returns. The sales of red tilapia at the conclusion of this trial returned 49.03 percent net profit as a percentage of total costs, or 32.90 percent net profit as a percentage of total sales and after only four months of production. This is a strong indicator of the efficiency and business potential of this IPRS technology from both the biological and economic points of view.

## Authors

**ESAU ARANA**

Research Associate IV  
School of Fisheries, Aquaculture and Aquatic Sciences  
Auburn University  
Auburn, AL 36849 USA

[aranaes@auburn.edu](mailto:aranaes@auburn.edu) (<mailto:aranaes@auburn.edu>).

**JESSE CHAPPELL, PH.D.**

Associate Professor Extension Specialist  
School of Fisheries, Aquaculture and Aquatic Sciences  
Auburn University  
Auburn, AL 36849 USA

[chappj1@auburn.edu](mailto:chappj1@auburn.edu) (<mailto:chappj1@auburn.edu>).

**JAIRO AMEZQUITA**

Project Manager of Aquaculture Utilization for the Americas Region  
United States Soybean Export Council (USSEC) – Latin America  
Cali, Colombia

[JAmezquita@ct.ussec.org](mailto:JAmezquita@ct.ussec.org) (<mailto:JAmezquita@ct.ussec.org>).

**LUIS PEDROZA**

Tilapia Blukalsa, Honduras

[luis.pedroza@francisapparel.com](mailto:luis.pedroza@francisapparel.com) (<mailto:luis.pedroza@francisapparel.com>).





**HERBERT QUINTERO, PH.D.**

Corresponding author  
Extension Aquaculture Specialist  
University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff (UAPB)  
Lonoke Fish Disease Diagnostic Laboratory  
Lonoke Agricultural Center  
Lonoke, AR 72086 USA

[quinteroh@uapb.edu](mailto:quinteroh@uapb.edu) (<mailto:quinteroh@uapb.edu>)

Copyright © 2025 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.